

621 Hillsborough Street Suite 500 Raleigh, NC 27603 919. 361. 5000

February 4, 2025

Wake County Environmental Services Department Water Quality Division, Watershed Management Section 336 Fayetteville Street, P.O. Box 550 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

RE: The Point South - CD Package 3 Permit Number: SEC-115283-2023; CID-24-01 Response to 4th Construction Plan Review Comments AWH20000

The following are the response comments for the above-mentioned project. Our response comments are in **bold**.

WMCPR – Rolesville, Wendell, Zebulon Watershed Management

Kevin Zelaya <u>kevin.zelaya@wake.gov</u> Jeevan Neupane jeevan.neupane@wake.gov

- 4. Other documents:
 - b. 2nd Review: 401/404 Documentation: Provide approvals for buffer and stream impacts. McAdams Response: The 401/404 documents will be uploaded as soon as they are received. 3rd Review: 401/404 Documentation: Provide approvals for buffer and stream impacts. McAdams Response: All available environmental permitting documentation has been submitted previously. When final permits are issued, we will forward copies to Wake County Watershed Management. 4th Review: 401/404 Documentation: Provide approvals for buffer and stream impacts. McAdams Response: 401/404 documents are included with this submittal.
- 6. 2nd Review: Cover letter stating the purpose of the submission, describing site drainage, stormwater management objectives, and how the proposed stormwater management plan will meet the objectives and be implemented. RESUBMITTALS: A letter detailing any changes, comments, proposed solutions to review comments, etc.

McAdams Response: This letter serves as the above requirement.

3rd Review: Cover letter stating the purpose of the submission, describing site drainage, stormwater management objectives, and how the proposed stormwater management plan will meet the objectives and be implemented. RESUBMITTALS: A letter detailing any changes, comments, proposed solutions to review comments, etc.

McAdams Response: This letter serves as the above requirement. <u>4th Review: Cover letter stating the purpose of the submission, describing site drainage, stormwater management</u> <u>objectives, and how the proposed stormwater management plan will meet the objectives and be implemented</u> <u>RESUBMITTALS: A letter detailing any changes, comments, proposed solutions to review comments, etc.</u>

McAdams Response: This letter serves as the above requirement.

10. 1st Review: Two (2) copies of the Municipal Stormwater Design Tool; digital submittal and hardcopy (Site Data Sheet, Drainage Area Sheets, Site Summary Sheet, BMP Sheets, and BMP Summary sheet).

The Tool is required by code and supersedes additional calculations provided by the engineer.

McAdams Response: The Required Municipal Tool is included with this submittal.

2nd Review: Post Peak discharge exceeds Pre-Peak flow.

McAdams Response: Our calculations show post peak flow is less then pre peak flow, we are working to resolve the calculation discrepancy between the Municipal Tool and our calculations.

3rd Review: Post Peak discharge exceeds Pre-Peak flow.

McAdams Response: Post peak discharges have been updated.

4th Review: Post BMP discharge exceeds Pre-Peak flow.

McAdams Response: Post BMP discharge increase is from a basin with no BMP for treatment. The runoff volume is small enough that it is not subject to the requirements per the municipal tool.

- 12. 2 sets of Stormwater and Erosion Control Calculations:
 - e. 3rd Review: Support data for all stormwater practice designs, such as inflow/outflow rates, stage/storage data, hydrographs, outlet designs, infiltration rates, water elevations, design output, summary, etc.
 - Provide SIA for Phases 8 and 14 only. Phases must stand alone.
 - McAdams Response: SIA has been updated.

4th Review: 2 sets of Stormwater and Erosion Control Calculations.

McAdams Response: SIA included with this submittal. Previously submitted Erosion Control Calculations have not changed.

14. Proposed Site Plan:

Table with impervious calculations - existing and proposed impervious surfaces: roads, well lots,

recreation sites, single family residences, etc. (consistent with the Municipal Stormwater Design Tool

e. inputs)

2nd Review: Revise impervious table on cover to clarify actual impervious. "Total Area for Lots" under impervious heading is misleading. Totals should match inputs for Wake County Municipal stormwater tool.

McAdams Response: Noted. We are working to resolve the discrepancy between the Municipal Tool peak flow calculations and our SIA calculations and will provide with the next submittal.

3rd Review: Revise impervious table on cover to clarify actual impervious. "Total Area for Lots" under impervious heading is misleading. Totals should match inputs for Wake County Municipal stormwater tool.

McAdams Response: Totals should now match Municipal stormwater tool.

4th Review: Revise impervious table on cover to clarify actual impervious. "Total Area for Lots" under impervious heading is misleading. Totals should match inputs for Wake County Municipal stormwater tool.



| Tota | d Areas For Lots | | | | |
|----------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--------|---|
| | SF-60= | 0 | sf | | |
| | 5F-40= | 0 | sf | | |
| - | SF-39= | 0 | sf | | |
| | SF-50= | 470297 | sf | | |
| | TH-22 | 242752 | | | |
| | 1.1 | | | 5a | |
| Lot / | Assumptions Breakdown | | | 1 | |
| - | | Impervious | Roof(70% Imp.) | Sir | |
| | SF-60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| - | SF-40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | SF-39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | SF-50 | 277475 | 194233 | | |
| 1 | TH-22 | 220904 | 154633 | | |
| | Totals(sf)= | 498380 | 348856 | | |
| | Total | Site Area (Ac): | | | 38.6 |
| | 1940-900 | | | н2 | |
| | Total Existing Lake/F | | | 2 | |
| | | Pond Area (Ac): | | | 0.00 |
| | Existing Lake/F | Pond Area (Ac): rbed Area (Ac): | | | 0.00 |
| harmente | Existing Lake/F Proposed Distu | Pond Area (Ac): rbed Area (Ac): ice Area (acre): | | F 7 | 0.00 28.9 16.9 |
| | Existing Lake/F Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa | Pond Area (Ac): rbed Area (Ac): ice Area (Acre): opdown menu): | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | 0.00 28.9 16.9 Resider |
| Para 200 | Existing Lake/F Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa Type of Development (Select from Dro Percent Built Up | Pond Area (Ac): rbed Area (Ac): ice Area (Acre): opdown menu): | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | 38.60 0.00 28.99 16.99 Resider 44% High |
| | Existing Lake/F Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa Type of Development (Select from Dro Percent Built Up | Pond Area (Ac): rbed Area (Ac): cce Area (acre): opdown menu): on Area (BUA): troject Density: | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | P 7 | 0.00 28.9 16.9 Resider 44% |
| | Existing Lake/F Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa Type of Development (Select from Dro Percent Built Up F | vond Area (Ac): rbed Area (Ac): cce Area (acre): opdown menu): on Area (BUA): rroject Density: ite expansion? | | | 0.00 28.9 16.9 Resider 44% High |
| | Existing Lake/F Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa Type of Development (Select from Dro Percent Built Up Is the proposed project a s Number of Drainage 1-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N | ond Area (Ac): thed Area (Ac): the Area (Ac): the Area (acre): the Area (BUA): the Area (BUA): the expansion? Areas on Site: IOAA Website): | | | 0.00 28.9 16.9 Resider 44% High No 3 2.86 |
| | Existing Lake/F Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa Type of Development (Select from Dro Percent Built Up Is the proposed project a s Number of Drainage 1-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N 2-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N | vond Area (Ac): trbed Area (Ac): ce Area (acre): opdown menul: on Area (BUA): troject Density: itle expansion? Areas on Site: IOAA Website): | | | 0.00 28.9 16.9 Resider 44% High No 3 2.86 3.46 |
| | Existing Lake/F Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa Type of Development (Select from Dro Percent Built Up Is the proposed project a s Number of Drainage 1-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N | vond Area (Ac): trbed Area (Ac): ce Area (acre): opdown menul): on Area (BUA): troject Density: ite expansion? Areas on Site: IOAA Website): IOAA Website): | | | 0.00 28.9 16.9 Resider 44% High No 3 2.86 3.46 |
| | Existing Lake/F Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa Type of Development (Select from Dro Percent Built Up Is the proposed project a s Number of Drainage 1-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N 10-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N | Vond Area (Ac): thed Area (Ac): ce Area (acre): opdown menu): troject Density: troject Density: troject Density: (Areas on Site: (OAA Website): (OAA Website): COAA | ot Data (if applicable): | | 0.00 28.9 16.9 Resider 44% High No 3 2.86 3.46 5.06 |
| | Existing Lake/F Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa Type of Development (Select from Dro Percent Built Up Is the proposed project a s Number of Drainage 1-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N 2-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N 10-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N | vond Area (Ac): i trbed Araa (Ac): i ce Area (acre): i opdown menu): i on Area (BUA): i troject Density: i itle expansion? i Areas on Site: i IOAA Website): i IOAA Website): i Creage in Lots: i | ot Data (if applicable): | | 0.00 28.9 Reside 44% Higt No 3 2.86 3.44 5.00 |
| | Existing Lake/f Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa Type of Development (Select from Dro Percent Built Up P Is the proposed project a s Number of Drainage 1-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N 10-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N 10-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N Total A | Vond Area (AC): trbed Area (Ac): cce Area (acre): pddwm menu): on Area (BUA): troject Density: tile expansion? Areas on Site: OAA Website): IOAA Website): COAA Website): COAAA Website): COAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA | ot Data (if applicable): | | 0.00 28.99 Resider 44% High No 3 2.86 5.06 5.06 15.6 15.6 |
| | Existing Lake/f Proposed Distu Impervious Surfa Type of Development (Select from Dro Percent Built Up P Is the proposed project a s Number of Drainage 1-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N 10-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N 10-Year, 24-Hour Storm (inches) (See N Total A | Vond Area (AC): tribed Area (AC): toce Area (Acre): pdown menu): on Area (BUA): troject Density: tite expansion? Areas on site: IOAA Website): IOAA Website): COAA Website): COAA Website): tock areas tock areas | ot Data (if applicable): | | 0.00 28.9 16.9 Resider 44% High No 3 3 2.86 3.46 5.06 |

McAdams Response: This is the way the impervious information for previous CD Submittals was presented and matches municipal tool totals.

Consideration of this response is greatly appreciated. If you should have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at 919. 361. 5000.

Sincerely, **McAdams**

Mi $hl \leq$

Mike Sanchez, PE | Group Manager, Residential sanchez@mcadamsco.com | 919. 361. 5000

MS/ee

