## PSP-24-07 – MERRITT – V2 Submittal review cycle

START DATE: FEBRURY 2025

DUE DATE: \_\_\_03-10-25\_\_

TRC/STAFF Comments issued on:

\_03/09/2025\_

Review Group / Staff		Comments			
2 3 4 5 9 9 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9	<ul> <li>Conti,</li> <li>Conti,</li> <li>Cloud</li> <li>HOLL</li> <li>6.2.4,</li> <li>Plans</li> <li>are w</li> <li>holding</li> <li>enviration</li> <li>model</li> <li>REPE,</li> <li>associ</li> <li>cover</li> <li>Cover</li> <li>cover</li> <li>associ</li> <li>asi</li> <li>associ</li> <li>associ</li> <li>associ&lt;</li></ul>	<i>inue to</i> Provide a Written Response to ALL comments. <i>inue to</i> Add revision dates to all submittal materials. d / bubble changes to make it clear what has been revised. <i>DING COMMENT</i> - Application and Submission Requirements - A Tree Survey (Section .2.A) and Tree Preservation Plan (Section 6.2.4.5.C) are required as a portion of Landscape submitted with this application. As part of the V2 submittal, the applicant has indicated they vorking with an arborist to finalize a proposal for the preservation plan. This will remain as a ng comment until a preservation plan has been reviewed and approved. <i>DING COMMENT</i> - Application and Submission Requirements - Copies of all onmental permits for disturbances and encroachments shall be submitted to the Town. <i>AT</i> - <i>Cover Sheet and Site Details</i> - The V2 submittal only references REZ 24-01 by listing the clated zoning conditions on the cover sheet. A reference to TA 24-01 shall also be included on the r sheet. <i>r Sheet and Site Details</i> - Please add the following information to the Site Data Table and/or set the information as shown on the mark-ups: <u>tEPEAT</u> – Refer to the approved text amendment (TA 24-01) which allows these minimum building etback dimensions. A reference to the TA 24-01 case number can be added directly in this cell in the ite Data Table. <i>CAUTIONARY COMMENT</i> - Vehicle use areas, streets, driveways, and sidewalks required per this LDO nay not be used toward open space calculations unless explicitly stated in LDO 6.2. As open spaces re programmed, another compliance review with LDO 6.2 will take place during CIDs. Currently, the ite is providing 0.97 acres more than the required open space. It should noted that elements such as suggest carefully reviewing LDO 6.2 to ensure open space requirements will continue to be met. EAT – The required parking spaces for multifamily indicated in the updated Site Data Table is curate. See the screengrab below from Table 6.4.3.G from the LDO which outlines parking uirements for <b>Dwelling, M</b>			

Summary of TRC-Staff Review Comments

		ROLESVILLE OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS					
		PRINCIPAL USES	MINIMUM REQUIRED	MAXIMUM ALLOWED	ADDITIONAL NOTES		
		RESIDENTIAL USES	I				
		Dwelling, Single Family, Attached	2.0/Dwelling Unit Plus 0.25 Guest Spaces/Dwelling Unit	No Maximum	Exclusive of garage, which shall not be included in minimum and maximum.		
		Dwelling, Multiple Family	1.5/Dwelling Unit Plus 0.10 Guest Spaces/Dwelling Unit	2.5/Dwelling Unit	Maximum 10% additional guest parking of total parking count may be permitted.		
	inter At w the c 10) <u>HOLI</u> respo coun 11) <u>HOLI</u>	TIAL REPEAT - Site Plan Over ided for future connection to hat point in time does the a commercial parcel? DING COMMENT - Site Play onse letter that they are in ty. This will remain as a hol DING COMMENT - Regarding ndicated that a lighting plar	to the commercial area applicant plan to show an Overall (CS-100) – a the process of receiv ding comment until bo ag LDO Section 6.6 – Pe	a. Will this be a vehicle this connection? Duri Applicant has indica ing road names and oth are obtained. er the V2 comment re	e connection or pedestriang CIDs, or future SDP fo ated per the V@ comm E911 addresses from W		
	rema	ain as a holding comment u	ntil a lighting plan has l	been provided and ap	proved.		
Parks & Recreation - Ed Henderson	ldie	<ol> <li>Please confirm if Sidep hatching does not mate</li> <li>If the Sidepath does no remaining part needs t</li> <li>Please confirm if there Sidepath on the north s</li> <li>Please confirm if the G maintained, or public (</li> </ol>	ch the Sidepath along t ot continue to the west o be discussed. will be a crosswalk acr side of the Fowler Road reenway that runs alor	the Fowler Road exter ern property line, a fe oss Fowler Road to co d extension.	nsion. ee in lieu (FIL) for the onnect the Greenway to f		
Engineering - Jacque Th	nompson	See the two (2) PDF's of Co 1) Memo dated 03-05-202 2.) Mark-up comments, th	5, with 58 comments,		re CID plans;		
Wake County Watershe Elizabeth Powell	ed Mgt –	See PDF of the County's Pr	e-Submittal Plan Revie	w Checklist, "Review	Status" date of 03/04/20		

## PSP-24-07 – MERRITT – V2 Submittal review cycle

COR Public Utilities - Tim Beasley	See PDF of markup comments on Sheets G-001 (Cover) and CU-100.	
Wake C Fire / EMS - Brittany Hocutt	Cul-de-sacs shall have a paved diameter of 96'(radius 48').	
NCDOT – Jacob Nicholson	<ol> <li>NCDOT review of the TIA is still pending; required improvements may impact R/W dedication.</li> <li>After further discussions with Town staff, it is our understanding that the Town still desires for the Fowler Rd extension to be maintained by NCDOT once it connects from Rolesville Rd to US 401. Roadway plans will need to be reviewed and approved by NCDOT. We are open to discussing/working through any constraints that limit the ability to meet the requested design speed of 50 MPH.</li> </ol>	